

Total : 126 Votes

Should the gravel road be reconstructed to allow motorized vehicles access to the south side of the Island adjacent to the bike path?

No: 73 (58%)

Yes: 53 (42%)

no

Comments: It will cause dust for the Rec path users. Maintain the other inroads instead....

no

Comments:

no

Comments: It is such a beautiful area. If people want to access it they should do so by walking and/or biking.

no

Comments:

yes

Comments: Should of been thought of before actually paving the path. Vehicles used that road way before a path existed.

no

Comments: Make a small parking area and let them walk!

yes

Comments: also the path should be on the right side of the river, inside of on the roadway. You won't have to worry about getting bumped. Just a suggestion.

yes

Comments:

no

Comments: This would it for those of us who run or walk on that path. We go there precisely to get away from the vehicles!

no

Comments: THERE ARE OTHER ROADWAYS VECHICLES CAN USE. WE NEED TO PROMOTE PHYSICAL ACTIVITY IN OUR COMMUNITY. IT'S THE RIGHT THING TO DO.

yes

Comments:

yes

Comments: However, not directley adjacent to the bike path as speeding cars will dust out the rec path users and create a saftey hazard also.

yes

Comments: if not a new road, than at least improve upon what exists along the new path

yes

Comments:

no

Comments: It's to dangerous to allow motorized vehicles near the bike path.

no

Comments: There are enought roads on the island now for people to throw there trash and empty beer bottles as it is

no

Comments: People mostly go there for illicit activities! Leave it for recreational purposes only.

yes

Comments: so long as there is some way to keep the drunk drivers leaving the marina off of it?

no

Comments: The loose gravel will end up on the path and make cycling, etc. dangerous. When there are potholes, the vehicles will use the path resulting in damage.

no

Comments: What people don't have enough places to drink and drive?

yes

Comments:

no

Comments: Create an area, or a few areas, to park cars, and people can walk to the shoreline to fish, or do whatever else they do.

no

Comments: NO WAY!!! That would ruin the whole thing. It's one of the only places you can go in town to enjoy what's left of the natural environment. People have plenty of places to drive already. The whole Island should be designated as a nature sanctuary anyway.

yes

Comments:

no

Comments: Why would or should motorized vehicles be permitted in such a place No No No

yes

Comments: however some form of barrier needs to bre constructed to prohibit the vehicles from wandering on to the path-trees, bushes foliage, or maybe even benches for tired elders who may wnat o walkk but find it to far.

no

Comments:

no

Comments:

no

Comments: Why don't you make a bike path/park from the tunnel to Survival School? This is an actual route taken by the youth of Kahnawake, with no bar at the end. It is also the last of the free land surrounding the water. Do we really want an industrial boulevard hiding our water front?

yes

Comments: there needs to be a definite dividing structure to keep the gravel off the bicycle path. Otherwise I protest!

Yes

Comments: there needs to be a definite dividing structure to keep the gravel off the bicycle path. Otherwise I protest!

no

Comments:

no

Comments: We need a bike path / walking path for our kids to get into town SAFELY from the Clay Mountains !!!

yes

Comments:

yes

Comments: a barrer should be added to keep cars & atvs off the path

no

Comments:

yes

Comments:

no

Comments:

no

Comments: I don't think there should be a paved road. Image walking down the bike path and having vehicles shooting past you. I think it should stay as it is and sure let the very few cars take their time in getting to the south side, if it is paved, it is open to more vehicles. I'm sure that \$20,000 can be used more wisely than that.

no

Comments:

no

Comments: Why do people need to drive all over there?

yes

Comments:

yes

Comments:

yes

Comments:

yes

Comments: this will prevent cars and atvs from using and wearing down the paved surface reserved for cyclists and joggers.

yes

Comments:

yes

Comments:

no

Comments: I think there is more important issues in Kahnawake to allocate this amount of funds to!! The bicycle/ pedestrian path is just that.. Not a Motor vehicle path. Perhaps they should redevelop our childrens play grounds, like the one near the second lights. Which has been burned to the ground.! Perhaps more funding to assist children in learning our cultural ways. Dancing, singing, and traditional outfit making. Just some thoughts to throw out there. Nia:wen Kowa

yes

Comments: It was bullshit for the government to say where the bile path was to be. I know for fact that those monies came with strings. Bunch suck ass money whores

yes

Comments: It would be a good idea, but until posts are put in down the center like a real bike path people will continue to drive cars/trucks/motorbikes on it and not use the gravel road

no

Comments: Hard call. I agree they should have access however, for the walkers, joggers, cyclists and walking Moms with children in strollers would be subject to a lot of dust rising from not only cars

but youth speeding around on four wheeler ATV's which would inevitably occur. Perhaps maintain the few access roads as far as to let them park near the fishing spots without having to drive parallel to the new path. I don't want to say "no" but my response seems to weigh more in favor of that choice. I personally will miss having that road since I have grown up using it to go fishing for bullhead.

no

Comments: I think it would be dangerous for cars to be that close to the bike/walking path. One of the only things i can think of that people would want access to that part of the island is for fishing. Why can't they walk there, like our ancestors did. People need to exercise more than they need to drive. There's already a road close enough to access the shore line. Enough paving on the island!

yes

Comments: Because of two reasons:
1. There going to use regardless and the risk to walkers/bikers will increase if there is no road. twenty-grand has been spent in the past on more frivolous items, you can't put a price tag on safety.
2. Motorized vehicles have always had access to it in for decades for fishing, relaxing, bonfires, family picnics, etc. Why try to exclude or stop this recreational past time on the south side of the island now.

yes

Comments:

no

Comments: The needs of the other community members path and walkway needs (207, OCR) should be considered. If people walk or bicycle ride into town they are taking risks far beyond what is normal. We are forced to drive or call a cab.

yes
Comments:

yes
Comments:

no
Comments: IT WILL BE A RACE TRACK WE HAVE ENOUGH PROBLEMS WITH THE CARS RACING ON THAT ROAD AS IS KEEP AS IS PEOPLE WILL USE IT AT A SLOW PACE IF THEY WANT TO USE IT

no
Comments: People who want to use the shoreline can park their vehicles close by and walk

yes
Comments:

no
Comments: It's a safety issue. But who's gonna stop people from doing it? Do we need "Bike path police"??

no
Comments:

yes
Comments: The new rec. path road should have never been built on an existing road in the first place.

no
Comments:

no
Comments:

yes
Comments:

no
Comments:

no
Comments:

no
Comments:

no
Comments: motorized vehicles and walkers do not mix. It is a

safety issue and for some reason although our people talk respect do not actually practice respect.

yes
Comments: pave it to!

no
Comments:

yes
Comments: I really don't agree with this but if it will keep the motor bikes off the bike path than make the gravel road. But, a good distance away so that the people that are going for a walk are not bothered by the bikes.

no
Comments: Waste of money!!! No doubt, use \$20,000 on the kids and elders

yes
Comments: Motorists who want to access that part of the island will do so even if it means driving on the bicycle path. Whatever the cost to keep pedestrians and cyclists safe is worth it.

no
Comments: I have used the bike path and it is quiet and peaceful, away from car traffic. There should be no reason why a vehicle can't park away from the path and then they can walk, or why not bicycle. We have become too heavily dependent on using our cars wherever we go even if it is within walking distance.

no
Comments: People should be walking for their health

no
Comments: Vehicles can use the dirt access roads already constructed. But we need closer monitoring of the paved bike path to deter motorists from using it.

no
Comments:

no

Comments: Probably bikers will be upset by dust and noise created by motorised vehicles.

no
Comments: These areas are nesting grounds for all typer of wildlife. if people ar allowed "drive up to " access, they will destroy a natural habitat. It should have been isolated as "wildlife preserve " in the first place

yes
Comments: it would be a nice, it would be permanent, instead of driving that way and running over broken glass, of course it will probably still happen like that.

no
Comments: No, no, no! Why give the delinquent youth (and some adults) in this town another opportunity to do their "activities" and create double the amount of litter already there.

no
Comments: No cars should be allowed to PARK and FISH???

no
Comments:

no
Comments:

no
Comments:

no
Comments:

no
Comments:

no
Comments:

no
Comments: Just because it is common land does not mean cars and ATV's should be allowed access. Make access to the area more difficult for cars and ATV's, not easier. Consider portable curbing just off the north side of the path.

no
Comments:

yes
Comments:

no
Comments:

yes
Comments:

no
Comments: just making more racing areas - leave the path nice and quiet without any added dangers - save the money for another area that may need repairs or additions :)

yes
Comments: definately the area should be cleaned up and used for recreational purposes. a small walking/biking bridge could be constructed later over the water from the Marina to the existing bike path!

no
Comments: Access is within short walking from available parking and walking from a car is not hazardous to anyone's health.

yes
Comments: I don't know who made the decision to put the bike/walking path on top of the roadway, but it was clearly a bad one. It was not an abandoned or unused road, in fact, many people used the road to get to their favorite fishing spots. Not to mention, the Powwow uses the road to access the parking area. I believe adding a bike/walking path was an excellent idea but more thought should have gone into the location.

yes
Comments:

no
Comments: I think all the motorized vehicle owners and

occupants has done is polluted the bike path. Not a day goes by that I don't see a great deal of garbage and bottles and junk left laying around. For \$20,000 how about a new town pool????

yes
Comments:

no
Comments:

yes
Comments: The current bike path was constructed on a road that was used by many to go fishing, replacing it only makes sense as a lot of people still want access to the water for fishing.

yes
Comments:

yes
Comments: why wasn't the walking/bicycle path built on the side of the existing road or even on the seaway side I think it would have been even nicer. Would it have cost \$20,000.00. to build? And another thing, when the police patrol the walking/bicycle path do they use their cars to patrol (the no cars allowed on the path) or are they walking/bicycling.

yes
Comments:

no
Comments:

no
Comments:

yes
Comments:

no
Comments: Walk, bike, rollerblade or run. Inhaling exhaust fumes while we exercise. How nice.

no
Comments: Allowing vehicles near the path is a safety issue.

no
Comments: Let's take the \$20,000 and make a nice drag strip in the center of town so atv's and cars can have a place to race! sound stupid?, well so would putting a road next to a bike path

yes
Comments:

no
Comments: Please do not take anymore green space from area. Furthermore in an effort to promote physical activity we should not be making it easier for people to drive where they can be walking. Finally there would be sfaety concerns with people walking and cars speeding by in this area.

yes
Comments: All of my life my family has used the island for fishing outings - we have tackle, chairs, coolers, etc. and it's just not right to ask people to park and walk in to the water with all your gear and small children. I think vehicles should have access to the shoreline.

no
Comments:

yes
Comments:

no
Comments:

yes
Comments:

no
Comments:

no
Comments: If people want to access the water, they can park their car and walk. Why spend \$20,000 on a road if it is just going to encourage people to park out there & drink and make a big mess? My suggestion is to install park benches & garbage cans along the bike path.

yes

Comments:

yes

Comments: Sure, why not. Let's keep those cars and atvs' away from the bike path. Let them use a gravel road. The bike path should only be for the bikers and walkers to keep it safe! Maybe some lights along the path in the future? lol.

yes

Comments: Yes , but not to close together as the cars will just move over to the paved part when they see no one around

no

Comments: I walk there and see the damage done after hours by cars to the path and I do not believe a gravel road would make a difference. There are plenty of access roads to for families who what to fish as I see them there all the time. That would be the only other reason why anyone would want to go there. No one wants to swim there as the water is not very inviting.